



---

<b>Document title</b>	Provisional plan for HOLAS III
<b>Code</b>	5-4
<b>Category</b>	DEC
<b>Agenda Item</b>	5 – Activities of relevant HELCOM projects or processes
<b>Submission date</b>	16.10.2019
<b>Submitted by</b>	Secretariat
<b>Reference</b>	

---

## Background

GEAR 20-2019 noted that the proposed timeline outlines a HOLAS III process as prepared by the Secretariat. In the proposed timeline development work takes place prior to the start of the assessment process, which strives to have assessment results and supporting material in place for approval to make them available for national reporting purposes and which includes only one iteration of assessment.

The meeting in principle supported the suggestion that intersessional preparatory work for HOLAS III should take the form of three consecutive, interlinking work strands focusing on indicators, dataflows, and assessments and methodologies respectively and invited the Secretariat to prepare a draft for a project proposal for the dataflow strand, to be presented to STATE&CONSERVATION 11-2019 and GEAR 21-2019 for review. The meeting further invited the Secretariat and the relevant Expert Groups to prepare more specific information on identified gaps and development needs for the various work strands not covered by the indicator work, as identified in the HOLAS II process or in subsequent work and to continue the discussion on the topic in GEAR 21-2019.

GEAR 20-2019 also discussed components of HOLAS III, including aspects possibly missing, needing further development or benefitting from a different approach based on the work done under HOLAS II and start considering what might be needed for HOLAS III in terms of e.g. MSFD requirements, agreed to further elaborate the initial proposal of the components of HOLAS III as included in Annex 1 of this document, and invited countries to provide comments and suggestions to these components to the Secretariat by 12 August 2019. No further comments were received by the established deadline.

The Secretariat was invited to submit a further developed proposed plan for HOLAS III to STATE&CONSERVATION 11-2019 and GEAR 21-2019 meetings, respectively. Such a further developed plan is contained in this document, and associated documents [5-1](#), [5-5](#), [5-6](#), for review and input. The intention is to submit the provisional plan, including input from both State and Conservation 11-2019 and GEAR 21-2019, to HOD 57-2019 for approval, together with the project plan for the second step of the preparatory phase (as outlined below). Should the provisional plan be approved a more specific timeline would be developed, as well as a project plan for the third step of the preparatory phase.

**Please note that following possible input from the Second indicator workshop, as well as from State and Conservation 11-2019 a revised version of this document may be submitted prior to GEAR 21-2019.**

## Actions requested:

The Meeting is invited to:

- provide input to the proposed timeline and process of HOLAS III as needed;
- consider and support the inclusion of 2016 in the assessment period, and consider the possible partial inclusion of 2021 data, dependent on data reporting;

- provide further input to the list of aspects which might be missing, need further development or benefit from a different approach compared HOLAS II (contained in Annex 1 of this document);
- endorse the proposed provisional plan and agree to submit it to HOD 57-2019 for approval.

## Provisional plan for HOLAS III

Early planning for the HOLAS III process has been identified as important for the successful implementation of the next holistic assessment, but also for a number of related HELCOM processes. These include consolidation and further development of indicators, improving dataflows, improvements and further developments of the cumulative impacts and economic and social analysis and to ensure the continued policy relevance of the work and the assessments.

The planning for HOLAS III needs to be done within the framework delineated by the BSAP and in accordance with the needs of the Contracting Parties with respect to other international commitments. This places some limitations on how dynamic the HOLAS III process can be and necessitates that some trade-offs be considered early in the process. It also sets hard deadlines which need to be met in order to optimize the added value and to make full use of the HOLAS III work. Efforts have been made to take these considerations into account when developing the provisional plan contained in this document. Input and information related to the HOLAS III process brought forward by the HELCOM Expert and Working Groups have been included as far as possible. In addition, feedback on the HOLAS II process, received through the regional survey on the process and results of the project conducted by GEAR in 2018 as well as comments from the regional State of the Baltic Sea report consultation process have been taken into account (please see [document 4-1](#) to GEAR 20-2019 for more information). More specific details raised under these processes will need to be considered throughout the HOLAS III process.

GEAR 20-2019 discussed components of HOLAS III, including aspects possibly missing, needing further development or benefitting from a different approach based on the work done under HOLAS II and started considering what might be needed for HOLAS III in terms of e.g. MSFD requirements. The questions related to how to improve the HOLAS III assessment in comparison to HOLAS II were also posed to the Second HELCOM Indicator workshop and will be presented to STATE&CONSERVATION 11-2019. Collated input can be found in Annex 1 to this document.

Following the wishes expressed by the Contracting Parties the provisional plan contained in this document encompasses only one iteration of the assessment. Similarly, the plan follows the general structure agreed on under HOLAS II and the workflow is planned to focus on production and approval of thematic assessments prior to the work on the summary report commencing. Adhering to the request by the CPs, to the extent possible development work is planned to not take place concomitantly with data collection and assessments.

The provisional plan divides the work into two phases:

- **A three-part HOLAS III preparatory phase** focusing on consolidation and development of indicators, establishing and improving data flows, and refining and further developments of the assessments. The preparatory phase is proposed to run from the beginning of 2020-to the end of 2021, in a staggered structure.
- **A HOLAS III assessment phase**, consisting of data collection, indicator evaluations, integrated assessments and report production, would begin in late 2021 and run to the end of 2023.

The following sections in this document provide more in-depth information on the various phases and steps. This division of the work is intended to give more time for preparation and more evenly spread the need for input by the expert and resource allocation the Contracting Parties. It also strives to give as clear a structure and timeline for approval processes of the respective products as possible.

### Provisional draft timeline for HOLAS III

To support the initial discussions and scoping for HOLAS III the Secretariat has prepared information on a draft tentative timeline for the proposed work on HOLAS III (figure 1). Each of the phases and main steps included in the Gantt chart are further elaborated in the respective sections of this document.

		2020				2021				2022				2023				
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Preparatory phase	Indicator development/consolidation																	
	Establishing and ensuring dataflows																	
	Refining and further developments of assessments																	
HOLAS III	Indicators	Data collection																
		Data approval																
		Indicator evaluations																
		Approval of indicator evaluation results																
		Update indicator reports																
		Updating indicator report metadata and maps																
		Approval of indicator reports																
		Prepare supplementary information																
		Approval of report content																
	Indicator based integrated assessments	Run integrated assessments																
		Validating and approving results																
		Prepare supplementary information																
		Approval of report content																
	Cumulative impacts assessment	Data collection																
		Data approval																
		Running indices																
		Metadata descriptions																
		Validating and approving results																
		Prepare supplementary information																
		Approval of report content																
	Economic and social analysis assessment	Data collection																
		Data approval																
		Analysis																
		Validation and approval																
		Prepare supplementary information																
		Approval of report content																
	Report	Collate and write summary report text																
		Create synthesized figures																
		Approval of report content																
		Website production																
Layout																		
Publication																		

Figure 1. Provisional draft timetable for HOLAS III.

### HOLAS III Preparatory Phase

The preparatory phase is suggested to include three steps (as illustrated in figure 2). Each step is briefly outlined below, and is complimentary to the following steps.

		2020				2021				2022				2023			
		Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4												
Preparatory phase	Indicator development/consolidation																
	Establishing and ensuring dataflows																
	Refining and further developments of assessments																

**Figure 2. Provisional timeline of HOLAS III preparatory phase.**

### Step 1: Indicators (Q2 2018-Q3 2021) (document 5-7 to this meeting)

The first step focuses on core indicators and this work strand is already established. The work has commenced as outlined in the section on indicators below and is planned to continue until late 2021. A separate document (document 5-7) outlining how to take the work forward will be made available to the meeting.

#### *Review of existing indicators (Q1 2018- Q4 2019)*

This part of the process is outlined in the plan approved by HOD 54-2018, but encompasses the following main steps:

- Where are we with our existing indicators?
- How well do they match the policy requirements?
- Policy prioritization for inclusion in HOLAS III and beyond
- Technical input, what is feasible by 2021 and what would it take to get there?
- Plan for what indicators to consolidate and develop for HOLAS III

#### *Further developments and consolidation (Q1 2020-Q3 2021)*

This part of the process will be planned and prepared as part of the work of the indicator review, largely guided by the work of the two indicator workshops. It will focus on finalizing already initiated and ongoing work on indicators, as well as on those indicators agreed on as being priorities for HOLAS III, based both on the policy priority and the technical feasibility. For those being part of the prioritization process a clear workplan is to be developed for each indicator. Any indicator not ready by the end of 2021 they will not be included in HOLAS III.

### Step 2: Establishing and ensuring data flows (Q3 2020-Q4 2021) ([document 5-6](#) to this Meeting)

The following step, step number two, focuses on improving and establishing dataflows to support the assessments. Data collation and validation was identified as one of the most time consuming and resource intensive aspects of the HOLAS II process, and as such further development of this work strand will bring direct added value to the process. Establishing as many frequent and regular data flows as possible prior to the start of HOLAS III also minimizes the need for ad hoc data calls. This step is partially guided by the work on indicators (e.g. what indicators and in what format are likely to be included in the assessment, are there gaps in the dataflows and how can these be filled prior to the assessment phase etc.) but it also expands to include those assessments under HOLAS which are not based on core indicators, namely the pressure and impact indices and the economic and social analyses (ESA).

This work will be based on the initial gap analysis of existing assessment dataflows prepared by the Secretariat, presented at State and Conservation 9-2018 and 10-2019. It will focus on establishing consistent and functional dataflows, as well as data handling and data storage infrastructure. The need to even and spread out the data reporting to ease the load on national and regional data hosts at times of peak activity (e.g. for MSFD reporting) has been highlighted by State and Conservation 9-2018. State and Conservation has also supported that more frequent, more regular reporting facilitates communication between the data host and the reporter, the identification and solving of issues, better governance and control over data quality.

GEAR 20-2019 invited the Secretariat to prepare a draft for a project proposal for the dataflow strand, which has been submitted to STATE&CONSERVATION 11-2019 and GEAR 21- 2019 for review ([document 5-6](#) to this Meeting), with the intention to submit it to HOD 57-2019 for approval.

Step 3: Refining and further developing assessments and methodology (including but not limited to suggestions in documents [5-1](#) and [5-5](#) to this Meeting)

GEAR 20-2019 further invited the Secretariat and the relevant Expert Groups to prepare more specific information on identified gaps and development needs for the various work strands not covered by the indicator work, namely the Pressure and Impact indices and the ESA work, as identified in the HOLAS II process or in subsequent work. The meeting further agreed to continue the discussion on the topic in GEAR 21-2029.

The third step of the preparatory phase is proposed to focus on refining and further developing assessments and methodology, including spatial aspects, aspects on sensitivity and confidence ([document 5-5](#)), further developments of economic and social analyses (ESA) ([document 5-1](#)) as well as modification and refinements of the integrated assessment tools following the incorporation of new data strands stemming from step two and possible new or consolidated indicators stemming from step one.

### HOLAS III Assessment Phase

#### Proposed Timing and Work Strands

The proposed work strands and their respective timing under the project can be found in figure 3. This has been elaborated based on the experiences from HOLAS II and the input received from the Lessons learned survey and from the experts and WGs involved in the work, as well as from the Second HELCOM Indicator workshop. The proposed timing of the work in the assessment phase has, as far as possible, been planned to ensure that the assessment results and supporting material are in place for approval in time to make them available for national consultation and reporting purposes.

As a result of the proposed timeline, and to ensure the assessment covers a six year period, the year 2016 which was already included in HOLAS II, would be included also in HOLAS III. In order to incorporate data for a six year assessment period, in practice the years 2016- 2021, and to avoid reiterating the assessment in 2023, the reporting of national data for the year 2021 will need to be made available with no more than one year of time-lag in order to be included in the assessment. Realistically this might result in 2021 data not being included for some of the topics.




Figure 3. Gantt chart of assessment phase

### Proposed Process and Workflow

The proposed process and workflow of the assessment phase is illustrated in figure 4. Each stage of the process is followed by an approval step, with the aim to minimize the risk for ripple effects from changes in one stage affecting several other phases. This is intended to help minimize the need for repetition and give each consecutive stage a firm basis to build on. This also gives more freedom for e.g. national consultations for those Contracting Parties who are also EU Member States and might wish to use results in their national reporting.




Figure 4. Proposed process and workflow for HOLAS III

## Annex 1. Initial Scoping for Planning HOLAS III

This annex contains the components of HOLAS III, including aspects possibly missing, needing further development or benefitting from a different approach based on the work done under HOLAS II as proposed by GEAR 201-2019, the Second HELCOM Indicator workshop and will be presented to STATE&COSERVATION 11-2019. The preliminary sorting of the topics into Design, Structure and Content has been done by the Secretaria.

### Initial Scoping for Planning HOLAS III, prepared by GEAR 20-2019 and the Second HELCOM Indicator Workshop.

Topic	Missing	Improve	Revise	Comments (including possible sources)
Comparability of results		(x)		Ensure that, wherever possible, results are comparable between assessments (between successive assessment).
BSPI/BSII		x		Ensure that the indices are fit for purpose.
Continuous update of indicators	x			Resources needed to automatize indicator evaluation.
Trends		x		Important for interpreting indicator results.
Compare indicator and the results of the indices	x			E.g. for ecosystem component layers with corresponding indicator evaluation results.
Structure of report and results		x		Ensure the results are, to the extent possible, optimized for national use.
How to capture progress in a six-year period?		x		More focus on understanding, analyzing and presenting the results.
<b>Use same structure as HOLAS II</b>				Using a similar structure to HOLAS II allows for more focus to be put on analysis,
Indicator and assessment tools fit for rev. Com. Dec.		x		Should be part of the intersessional preparatory work.
<b>Only one assessment</b>				

Design

Structure

Content

Indicator evaluations and supporting material available in time for national reporting.		X		Strive to fit the timetable to have approved products in time for national processes
Use of SOM and ACTION project products and results for HOLAS III	x	x		Consider how to pick up on work that has been done intersessionally between HOLAS II and HOLAS III
How to address include expert judgement assessment				E.g. for invasive species
Benthic habitats	x	x		Need to consider a possible alternative timeline for approval?
Food web aspects	x	x		Food web aspects need to be significantly improved.
How to improve integration of information		x		Integration of various datalayers, as well as improved storytelling for the report
Effectiveness of measures				Use the results of SOM and ACTION
Climate change	x			How to include climate change in the assessment and the reports. From EN CLIME
More focus on activities, sources, distribution etc.		x		From SOM work.
Report structure		X	X	Review the HOLAS II reporting structure.
Resource needs		x		Start securing resources, consider opportunities to further work etc. under possible projects.
Dataflows		X		Even and spread out the reporting to ease the load on national and regional data hosts at times of peak activity, frequent, more regular reporting facilitates communication between the data host and the reporter, the identification and solving of issues, better governance and control over data quality.

<b>Thematic assessments first</b>				Thematic assessments should be produced and approved prior to the summary report, and the latter should build on the former.
Timelags		x		In HOLAS II it was challenging to work with the time lags between the various work strands and
Dataflows which feed into the assessment in 2022			x	For several topics it will not be possible to provide data for the year 2021 within the proposed timeframe for the indicator evaluations
Using the same data for several different parts of the assessment		x		Consider if there is an issue associated with using the same pressures/activities data in several parts of the assessment (cumulative buildup skewing the importance of some pressures?)
Pressure state linkages needs to be strengthened, especially for hazardous substances		x		
Include the EGs more closely in the process, especially for the topics which have no indicators.		x		