



Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission

Group on Ecosystem-based Sustainable Fisheries

FISH 8-2018

Warsaw, Poland, 23-24 May 2018

Document title	Outcome of the second meeting of the range states for the European Eel
Code	2-10
Category	INF
Agenda Item	2 – Matters arising from HELCOM work of relevance of the group
Submission date	23.5.2018
Submitted by	Secretariat

Background

This document contains the outcome of the second meeting of the range states for the European Eel, which was co-organized by the CMS Secretariat and Sargasso Sea Commission, in Malmö, Sweden, on 15-16 May 2018.

Action requested

The Meeting is invited to take note of the information.



Second Meeting of Range States for the European Eel

Malmö, Sweden, 15-16 May 2018

UNEP/CMS/Eels2/Outcome

OUTCOMES OF THE MEETING

Elements for Strengthening International Conservation of the European Eel

The meeting considered information on activities taking place related to the assessment, conservation and management of the European Eel, within and beyond the EU. Discussions following presentations from experts, country representatives, IGOs and NGOs involved in eel conservation identified certain gaps and opportunities as well as advantages to having stronger international cooperation for the conservation of European Eels. The meeting highlighted the necessity of taking into account all threats affecting eels throughout their life cycle and throughout their range including the High Seas.

The group identified the following areas, which add value and complement current efforts, and recognized the need to include all Range States and destination countries.

Imperatives for International Cooperation

Explore options for a coordination, monitoring and feedback mechanism for all actors to assess the efficiency of implementation of measures for the conservation and recovery of European Eels.

- Discuss what is being done and what needs to be done better.
- Help to raise awareness among all stakeholders.
- Consider and evaluate advice on the conservation status of the species
- Address gaps in knowledge
- Address gaps in international cooperation to conserve and manage the species

The proposed cooperation mechanism could undertake the following actions:

- Move towards common goals and standards (shared objectives)
- Establish measures to protect the Sargasso Sea, by building on the EBSA status, and by including data collection and sharing mechanisms, connectivity issues, and engagement in the ABNJ process
- Promote a common understanding of the pressures on the species including demand in Range and non-Range States
- Involve non-Range States in the implementation of measures to reduce the pressure on the species.
- Knowledge sharing among the Range States of all *Anguilla* species

Opportunities to complement existing regimens and fill Gaps

- Coordination of technical and scientific advice and a feedback mechanism
- Capacity-building and knowledge transfer, including guidelines and methodologies, (noting that information exists but dissemination mechanisms are needed).
- Awareness raising
- Partnerships

Other Considerations

- Take into account ongoing reviews and developments:
 - EU Regulation evaluation and other initiatives
 - Ongoing discussion towards a GFCM Eel Management Plan
 - CITES Decisions and the Review of Significant Trade process
 - IUCN Red List Assessment
 - ICES advice
- Need to quickly address the conservation status of the species
- Need for funding and resource mobilization

Way Forward

- The meeting decided that there is a potential for international cooperation to address gaps and challenges in European Eel conservation.
- In this context, the CMS as a global mechanism could address many of these issues. Based on the above modalities, there is a potential for CMS to begin consultations on setting up such a mechanism for more coordinated and comprehensive European Eel conservation.
- A negotiation process for strengthening international cooperation should take into consideration ongoing reviews of European Eel policies, including those taking place in the EU, the GFCM, CITES, IUCN and ICES.
- Consideration should also be taken of the need to reinforce action as soon as possible given the species' Critically Endangered conservation status.