



Possible structure for assessment in HOLAS III?

ComFish WS 1-2021 day 2




Are all of these kept? Can they be ranked or prioritised or do all require equal 'weight'?

Species	Scientific name	Stock	ICES subdivision	Fishing mortality	Stock size
Brill	<i>Scophthalmus rhombus</i>	North Sea, Skagerrak and Kattegat, English Channel	4, 3a, 7d, 7e	●	●
Cod	<i>Gadus morhua</i>	Western Baltic Sea*	22-24	●	●
		Eastern Baltic Sea ^{Proxy}	24+25-32	●	●
Dab	<i>Limanda limanda</i>	Baltic Sea ^{Proxy}	22-32	●	●
		Belt Sea and Sound ^{Proxy}	22-23	●	●
Flounder	<i>Platichthys flesus</i>	West of Bornholm, S Central Baltic ^{Proxy}	24-25	●	●
		East of Gotland, Gulf of Gdansk	26, 28	●	●



Include 'other issues'?
Genetics, health, condition, etc.
Added refs for highlighting risk?



For HOLAS III

Threshold values

No HELCOM threshold values – trends and expert-based assessments based on data evaluations. Surveillance approach?

- How to reflect overall status?
- Only rely on ones with TVs?
- Reflect two parts separately?
- Lower evaluation based on 'quality' (3 or 5 category scale)?
- Mark that status expected to be impacted by other key factors?

How best to visualise the expert-based part and differentiate from aspects where threshold values applied?