



# Revision of Directive 2000/59/EC on port reception facilities for ship generated waste and cargo residues

*Making the EU legal  
framework fit for purpose*

Seventh HELCOM Cooperation Platform on PRF in the Baltic Sea  
13 September 2017



@Transport\_EU

Mobility and  
Transport

CONNECTING  
EUROPE

# Background

- **Directive 2000/59/EC:**
  - Objective: *reduce discharges of SGW and CR into the sea*
  - Scope: **all ships**, including fishing vessels and small recreational craft; **all EU ports** receiving seagoing vessels
- **REFIT Evaluation (2015):**
  - Commission Evaluation Report: COM(2016) 168 final;
  - Need for a legislative revision
  - Challenges to be addressed: **Availability of Adequate PRF, Delivery of Waste** to PRF and **Administrative Burden** associated with implementation

## Short/medium term action

- **Commission Interpretative Guidelines: Commission Notice 2016/C (115/05):**
  - *addressing implementation issues in the interim period by providing clarification of key concepts*
  - **Common Information and Monitoring System**, Article 12(3) of the PRF Directive, Building on existing electronic data systems:
    - **SafeSeaNet:** reporting of the advance waste notification (electronic waste message based on Annex II)
    - **THETIS:** Port State Control system, separate module for PRF (THETIS-EU)



# Legislative revision -Impact Assessment

- **Inter-Service Steering Group: MOVE, SG, MARE, ENV, GROW, REGIO, EMSA**
- **Impact Assessment Support Study: May 2016-May 2017: Ecorys/COWI, final report available at:**
- **Stakeholder consultation (OPC, Targeted Surveys, Case studies, TIA Workshop, PRF Subgroup – ESSF)**
- **Commission Impact Assessment Report, approval from the Regulatory Scrutiny Board**



# Impact Assessment Report

- **Problem definition**
- **Definition of policy measures**
- **Definition of policy options**
- **Assessment of the impacts (environmental, economic and social impacts)**
- **Comparison of the options (effectiveness efficiency, coherence)**

# Problem definition

- *Problem drivers and root causes:*
  1. **Inadequate reception and handling of SGW and CR by PRF**
  2. **Insufficient cost incentives to deliver the waste to PRFs**
  3. **Ineffective enforcement of the mandatory delivery of SGW**
  4. **Inconsistent and outdated definitions and forms applied**
  5. **Inconsistent application of exemptions**
- Quantification of the Baseline : waste generated on board, vs. waste delivered in ports – *waste gap*; **MARWAS model and Environmental Vulnerability Assessment**

# Policy measures and options

- **General objective of the revision:** reduce discharges of SGW and CR into the sea, while ensuring an efficient operation of maritime operations in port.
- **20 Policy measures:** 1. adequacy of PRF, 2. incentives, 3. enforcement, 4. definitions/forms, 5. exemptions.
- **4 Policy Options:** 1. Baseline; 2. Minimum revision; 3. **MARPOL alignment**; 4. **EU PRF regime** for all discharges; and variant options 3b and 4b on **Marine Litter**
- Both options 3 and 4 to include measures to improve Adequacy of PRF, as well as the Incentives for delivery
- **Preferred option: 3b: MARPOL alignment with special focus on marine litter!**

## MARPOL alignment option (3)

- **Rationale:** *clarify the relationship with the MARPOL Convention, simplify the legislative framework and reduce administrative burden*
  - Define the scope of the mandatory delivery obligation in art. 7 in relation to MARPOL discharge norms
  - Align the definition of SGW with the Annexes in MARPOL: include MARPOL Annex VI waste and align the definitions of "cargo residues"
  - Waste notification to be fully aligned with IMO Circular 834; introduce a waste receipt in line with IMO Circular
  - Risk based approach for inspections: Port State Control (with separate regime for domestic vessels)
  - In addition to the mix of other measures proposed to improve **Adequacy** of PRF and increase delivery of SGW



## Marine litter option variant (3b)

- **Rationale:** contribution of sea-based sources to overall problem of marine litter: merchant ships, fishing vessels, recreational craft. Environmental vulnerability of different sea-basins.



## Marine litter option variant (3b)

- Mix of incentive and enforcement measures, with special focus on the delivery of **garbage** to PRF.
- Redefine the position of **fishing vessels and small recreational craft** in the Directive
- In addition to the mix of other measures to improve **Adequacy** of PRF and increase the delivery of waste to PRF

## Time-schedule

- Inter-service Consultation on the draft proposal: *early October –beginning of November*
- Translation: *November*
- Adoption and publication: *(expected) early December 2017*





European  
Commission



**Thank you for your  
attention!**

*Anna Bobo-Remijn*  
*anna.bobo-remijn@ec.europa.eu*  
*DG MOVE –*

**CONNECTING**